Nuclear Energy: State of Advanced Reactors

North Dakota Association of Rural Electric Cooperatives

Annual Meeting

February 8, 2023



Marc Nichol Senior Director, New Reactors ©2023 Nuclear Energy Institute



Nuclear Provided Over 50% of Emissions-Free Electricity





Nuclear generated 19% of electricity in the U.S.

From 92 reactors at 53 plant sites across the country

KEY

Nuclear power reactor

Advanced Reactor Developer Members ŊÊI **X** LPHA NUR **HITACHI** TerraPower Muons. Inc. Innovation in Research NAND Alpha Tech Research Corp **GENERAL ATOMICS** R N F G newc eo Futurable Energy ARC HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL luGen Westinghouse CLEAN ENERGY NUSCALE **Kairos Power** 🗶 energy ® BWX Technologies, Inc. KLO LAST **EXOD¥S ENERGY** ENERGY framatome 🗑 RADIANT POWER SYSTEMS clean energy ©2023 Nuclear Energy Institute 3

Expanding Versatility through Advanced Technology



Micro Reactors (< 20MW)

Oklo (shown) Approximately a dozen in development

High Temp LWR SMRs **Gas Reactors**

<300MW

NuScale (shown)

GEH X-300

Holtec SMR-160



X-energy (shown) Several in development

Liquid Metal Reactors



TerraPower Natrium (shown) Several in development

Molten Salt Reactors



Terrestrial (shown) Several in development

Non-Water Cooled

Most <300MW, some as large as 1,000 MW

NIA Technology Primer: https://nuclearinnovationalliance.org/sites/default/files/2022-07/ANRT-APrimer-July2022.pdf

©2023 Nuclear Energy Institute 4

Expanded Versatility Meets a Diverse Set of Market Needs





©2023 Nuclear Energy Institute 5

Watch the video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7zN_YLg-roo

Micro-Reactor Market Opportunities









ŊÊI



System Benefits of Advanced Reactors



Long term price stability	Low fuel and operating costs		
Reliable dispatchable generation	 24/7, 365 days per year, years between refueling (Capacity factors >92%) 		
Integration with renewables and storage	 Paired with heat storage and able to quickly change power 		
Efficient use of transmission	 Land utilization <0.1 acre/TWh (Wind =1,125 acre/TWh; Solar 144 acre/TWh) 		
Environmentally friendly	 Zero-carbon emissions, one of lowest total carbon footprints Many SMRs are being designed with ability for dry air cooling 		
Black-start and operate independent from the grid	 Resilience for mission critical activities Protect against natural phenomena, cyber threats and EMP 		

Source: SMR Start, SMRs in Integrated Resource Planning

Lowest System Cost Achieved by Enabling Large Scale New Nuclear Deployment



Lowest Cost System



Nuclear is 43% of generation (>300 GW of new nuclear)



Wind and solar are 50%



Nuclear is 13% (>60 GW of new nuclear)

Wind and Solar are 77%

Energy System with Nuclear Constrained

of generation

Increased cost to customers of \$449 Billion

Both scenarios are successful in reducing electricity grid GHG emissions by over 95% by 2050 and reducing the economy-wide GHG emissions by over 60%



Advanced Reactors Expected to be Cost Competitive





SMR Start Report: http://smrstart.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/SMR-Start-Economic-Analysis-2021-APPROVED-2021-03-22.pdf

Nuclear Affordability is Clear when Considering Reliability





SMR Start Report: http://smrstart.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/SMR-Start-Economic-Analysis-2021-APPROVED-2021-03-22.pdf

Advanced Reactor Safety

Building upon a strong safety record

- Operating fleet: one of the safest industrial working environments
 - Strong-Independent Regulator, Built tough, Operational Performance
- Enhancing safety for advanced reactors*
 - Safety profile fundamentally differ from other power reactors

Inherent Safety Features

- Robust hardened structures
- Rely on physics
 - Natural circulation
 - Gravity
- Fail-safe, shuts itself off
- Operational simplicity: very few instruments and controls

Reduce Risks

- Much smaller radionuclide inventory
- Minimize potential for accidents
- Mitigate consequences
- Proliferation resistant fuel and enrichments below 20% U-235

Emergency Response

- No credible event that could result in unacceptable off-site doses
- Maintain safety without the need for
- Power
- Additional coolant
- Human actions
- Emergency planning



Addressing Waste

All Energy Sources Have Waste, and All Must Do Three Things to Address it

- Must be able to manage it safely
 - Used fuel is solid, compact and there is proven technology to store it safely
 - Over 1,300 used fuel shipments safely completed in U.S.
- Must be able to pay for it
 - U.S. law requires nuclear plants to fund used fuel management and decommissioning activities
 - Over \$40 billion in Nuclear Waste Fund
- Must have a place to put it
 - Department of Energy required dispose of used fuel
 - Most micro-reactor companies will take back used fuel soon after refueling



Nuclear Fuel



Electric Utilities are Planning for New Nuclear



Nuclear power's potential role in meeting their company's decarbonization goals:



NEI Overview of Demand::<u>https://www.nei.org/CorporateSite/media/filefolder/advantages/The-Path-to-Decarbonization-Overview-of-the-Demand-for-New-Nuclear.pdf</u>

Coal to Nuclear Transition



- Coal power plant shutdowns can be devastating to local communities
- Transition to a small modular reactor (SMR) can provide carbon-free replacement power while:
 - Capitalizing on existing infrastructure,
 - Saving jobs, and
 - Supporting communities
- Pursuing policy actions to encourage coal to nuclear

Scott Madden Coal to Nuclear Paper:

https://www.scottmadden.com/content/uploads/2021/10/ScottMadden_Gone_With_The_Steam_WhitePaper_final4.pdf

Small Modular Reactors/Advanced Reactors Offer Significant Well-Paying, Long-Term Jobs



Generation Type	Permanent Jobs on Site	Industry Wage Median	Carbon-free Energy?	Role on Grid-firm Energy?	Concentrated in Local Community?
Nuclear	237*	\$41.32	Yes	Yes	Yes
Coal	107	\$33.64	No	Yes	Yes
Natural Gas	30	\$34.02	No	Yes	Yes
Wind	80	\$25.95	Yes	No	No
Solar	36	\$24.48	Yes	No	No

* - Based on NuScale 12-pack design

Note: Comparison of alternatives producing annual electricity output equivalent to a typical 1,000 Mwe coal plant

Source: ScottMadden, Gone with the Steam, October 2021 -

https://www.scottmadden.com/content/uploads/2021/10/ScottMadden_Gone_With_The_Steam_WhitePaper_final4.pdf

Strong Federal Support for Advanced Reactors

- DOE funding 12 different designs, >\$5B over 7 years
- Infrastructure Bill
 - \$2.5B funding for two demonstration projects
- Inflation Reduction Act
 - PTC: At least \$30/MWh for 10 years
 - ITC: 30% of investment
 - Both can be monetized, include 10% bonus for siting in certain energy communities
 - Loan Guarantees up to \$40B in expanded authority
 - HALEU Fuel \$700M
- CHIPS Act
 - Financial assistance to States, Tribes, local governments and Universities



September 2022

Current Federal Policy Tools to Support New Nuclear

The following is a first of current policy tools that could directly support the deployment of new nuclear, could potentially indirectly support the deployment or planning for new nuclear, and that currently support the deployment of new nuclear.

Programs that Could Directly Support Deployment of New Nuclear

Clean Electricity Production Credit – 45Y

The inflation Reduction Act orated a new technologyneutral tax orach for sul idean electricity technologis, incluing davanced nuclear and power uprates that we placed inacevicia 1203 or after. The bill does not change the existing Advanced Nuclear Production Tax Credit but precludes credits from being calmied under both program. The value of the oracle will be at test 330 per magewatchour, depending on initiation, for the first tay parts of parts operation. The credit passes out when screen emissions from electricity production are 73 percent below the 2022 level. The following is which to the statuce ungenegate.

http://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtmlTreq=43y&f=treesort&fq=true&num=2&hi=true&edition=prelim& granuleId=USC-prelim-title26-section43Y

Clean Electricity Investment Credit – 48E

As an atternative to the clean electricity PTC, the limition Reduction Act provided the option of claiming a clean electricity investment credit for zero-emissions facilities that is placed into service in 2023 or therefarter. This provides a credit of 30 percent of the investment in a new zero-action electricity facility, including nuclear plants. Like the other credits, this investment tax credit can be monetized. The TC phases out our effect the american set the clean electricity PTC.

https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?req=48E+clean&f=treesort&fq=true&num=4&hl=true&edition=pr elim&granuleId=USC-prelim-title26-section48E

Both the clean electricity PTC and ITC include a 10-percentage point bonus for facilities sited in certain energy communities such as those that have hosted coal plants. The following is a link to the statutory language.

Credit for Production from Advanced Nuclear Power Facilities - 45J

The nuclear production tax oreall 36 USC 43) provides a credit of 1.8 cents per kilowath/hour up to a maximum of 512 solid milion per tax year for 8 years. Only the first 6800 MW of new capacity installed after 2005 for a seeign approved after 1958 are eligible for the tax credit. The credit does not include a direct pay provision, so the owner will need to have offsetting taxable income to claim the credit or transfer tor credit doe neigible project partner. The following is a link to the statuber (negage.

https://uscode.house.gov/view.shtmlfreq=production+tax+credit&t=&fq=true&num=1&ht=true&editio n=prelim&granuleId=USC-prelim-title2&-section431

Current Federal Policies: https://www.nei.org/CorporateSite/media/filefolder/advantages/Current-Policy-Tools-to-Support-New-Nuclear.pdf

Recent State Legislative Actions



State	Legislative Action	State	Legislative Action
Alaska	Passed bill to repeal Legislature approval to site micro-reactors	Nebraska	Passed bill on SMR tax incentives and SMR study funding approved
Colorado	Considered bill to study SMRs	New Hampshire	Passed bill to create a nuclear commission and study SMRs
Connecticut	Passed bill to partially repeal the moratorium for new nuclear, and allow consideration at Millstone	New Jersey	Considered bills to create SMR task force and incentivize construction of advanced nuclear
Idaho	Tax incentives passed	North Carolina	Passed decarbonization plan bill
Indiana	Nuclear Certificate of Necessity program enabled	Ohio	Considered bill to create an SMR task force
Kentucky	Considered bill to study SMRs	Oklahoma	Considered bill to study SMRs
Michigan	Passed bill to study SMRs	Pennsylvania	Considered bill to study SMRs
Maryland	Considered including SMRs in Climate Solutions legislation	Virginia	Nuclear Energy Strategic Plan and SMR Task Force created
Minnesota	Considered bill to study SMRs and either fully or partially repeal its nuclear moratorium	Washington	Clean energy standard including nuclear
Missouri	Considered a bill to repeal a CWIP moratorium	West Virginia	Repealed nuclear moratorium
Montana	Passed bill to study coal to SMR Repealed voter approval to site	Wyoming	Passed bill calling for coal retirements to be replaced with SMRs _{©2023 Nuclear Energy Institute}

Advanced Nuclear Deployment Plans

Projects in planning or under consideration in U.S. and Canada >20; Globally >30





State Policy Options: https://www.nei.org/resources/reports-briefs/policy-options-for-states-to-support-new-nuclear

State Options to Support Advanced Reactors

- Feasibility Studies
- Reducing Barriers
- Tax incentives (e.g., property)
- Advanced cost recovery
- Workforce and infrastructure

NEP NUCLEAR ENERGY INSTITUTE

Policy Options for States to Support New Nuclear Energy

The transition to a clean energy system depends on nuclear carbon-free energy, both the existing fleet and innovative advanced nuclear technology. New reactor designs will pair with wind and solar generation as well as new battery storage technology to achieve state and federal carbon reduction goals.

Recent studies, including an NEI survey of its 19 utility members, found that hundreds of new advanced reactors are needed in the next 25 years to maintain a reliable, affordable and clean energy system.

Governors, legislators, and regulators will play a critical role in shaping policies that enhance the development and commercial deployment of these technologies. This document identifies policy tools already in use or being considered by state decisionmakers to achieve energy, environmental, (fimate, job creation and energy security goals by supporting the deployment of advanced nuclear technologies. These policy policies are grouped by:

- 1. Utilizing nuclear energy to achieve broad policy goals
- 2. Support for the deployment of advanced reactors
- 3. Understanding the benefits of nuclear energy.

Utilizing Nuclear Energy to Achieve Broad Policy Goals

Climate and Carbon Reduction Policies

To reduce carbon emissions, and address climate change, all carbon-free technologies are needed. Climate and carbon reduction policies that are technology-neutral or include nuclear energy are key components of all viable plans to decarbonize not just the electric sector, but also the transportation and industrial sectors which account for nearly two-thirds of carbon emissions. The following are the most common considerations:

- Enacting technology-neutral clean energy standards that support all carbon-free resources, including nuclear energy.
- Requiring taxes on carbon or other market-based solutions to reduce carbon emissions (i.e., Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative).
- Assuring that nuclear energy is qualified to receive benefits available to other carbon-free energy sources, such as wind and solar.

State Energy Policy

States are choosing individual paths of leadership in the promotion of various sectors of the nuclear energy industry. By directing official energy policy, a state can capture future benefits of an enhanced industry, including long-term, quality jobs stare revenue; manufacturing base; and ready access to clean

November 2022

1

QUESTIONS?

10

IIII

TIT

TITLE

ITTE

LILLE

TITI

TITI IIII

.

